

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,560		08/19/2003	Fernando Gonzalez	MI22-2383	6615	
21567	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
WELLS S			LEE, CALVIN			
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2825		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/644,560	GONZALEZ, FERNANI	DO (K		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lee, Calvin	2825			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov r she t with th	corr spondenc address	S		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.				
•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	prosecution as to the mer	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7-10 and 21-32 is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	,	;		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 21-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-19	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in phoney undor do o.o.o. 3 The	/(u/ (u/ o/ (//.			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		cation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	* *		je		
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)	. □. · · ·	(DTO 410)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152))		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/19/03</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application No: 10/644,560 Page 2

Docket No: MI22-2383 Fernando GONZALEZ

OFFICE ACTION

Obviousness Double Patenting Rejections

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed *Terminal Disclaimer* in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). A terminal disclaimer, signed by the assignee (or the registered attorney), must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 7-10 and 21-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of US Patent 6,638,834. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only-one difference --semiconductor-material-- (found in the pending claims 7 and 21) comprises at least silicon (found in the patent claims 1 and 5), which is notoriously well known to be included in the group of materials considered to be semiconductor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Calvin Lee* at (571) 272-1896, Monday to Thursday, from 7 to 5 (ET). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2825's Supervisory Patent Examiner *Matthew Smith* whose telephone number is (571) 272-1907.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0596. The fax phones are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After-Final communications.

CL

June 8, 2004

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800